

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

MOHAMED F. EL-HEWIE,	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 09-927 (MLC)
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	O P I N I O N
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
JON S. CORZINE, et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
_____	:	

THE COURT dismissed the Complaint in this action brought by the plaintiff pro se. (See dkt. entry no. 27, 6-24-09 Order; dkt. entry no. 26, 6-24-09 Op.; see also dkt. entry no. 40, 8-25-09 Order Denying Mot. for Reconsideration; dkt. entry no. 39, 8-25-09 Op.) The Court assumes that the parties are familiar with the contents of the Court's previous opinions and orders herein, and will not repeat them here.

THE PLAINTIFF now moves to reopen this action, and raises the same arguments that he raised previously in support of his claims. (See dkt. entry no. 42, Pl. Br. & Exs.; dkt. entry no. 44, Pl. Reply Br. & Exs.) The Court's previous reasoning still applies: (1) the plaintiff is barred from seeking relief from an order issued by one district court judge by bringing an action before a second district court judge; (2) the plaintiff's claims against various judges are barred under the absolute-immunity doctrine; (3) the Court will abstain from exercising jurisdiction

due to the ongoing state proceedings; (4) the plaintiff is barred from seeking review by a federal district court of determinations made in the state proceedings; and (5) the plaintiff's claims are barred by res judicata. (See 6-24-09 Op. at 4-8.) The Court will deny the motion before the return date, as it is without merit. For good cause appearing, the Court will issue an appropriate order.¹

s/ Mary L. Cooper
MARY L. COOPER
United States District Judge

Dated: April 1, 2010

¹ The plaintiff points out that there has been further activity in El-Hewie v. Bergen County, D.N.J. No. 08-1760 (FSH) and the related state proceedings. (Pl. Br. at 3-11.) This Court's previous reasoning still applies nonetheless.